AOC-CFCRB-16 Doc. Code: FRRB

Rev. 9-24 Page 1 of 2

Commonwealth of Kentucky

Court of Justice www.kycourts.gov Case No: _____





FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Date of Review:
Board:
County:
DCBS #:
FSW:
DCBS Supervisor:
GAL:
CASA:

Court □ District □ Circuit □ Family Judge:	☐ INTERESTED PARTY REVIEW BOARD☐ CASE REVIEW BOARD		GAL: CASA:
☐ Attention Judge (check if needed)		DCBS Case Nar	ne:
IN THE INTEREST OF:		, a child who ha	s been in Foster Care months
Removal Reason:			ndency 🗅 Status
DOB	Age	Sex	Race
		COA	Nacco .
Mother/Parent I:		Father/Parent II:	
Paternity Established: Yes No			
Date Entered Foster Care:		ate of Next Permaner	ncy Review:
Permanency Goal: Return to Pare			nt Relative Placement
Planned Permanent Livi	ng Arrangement 🔲 S	Subsidized Permanent	Custody Legal Guardianship
If the goal is adoption, date goal char	ged to adoption:		-
Number of Placements: Curre	nt Placement: 🛚 Kin	□ Foster Home □ F	Pre-Adopt Home □ PCC
□ Other			
Concurrent Planning: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐			
Is child placed out of state? ☐ Yes ☐			(Name of state).
Child has moved more than 3 times d	• .		
Prior Episode in Foster Care: Yes	-		
Has child's court case been transferre			
Has child been released? ☐ Yes ☐ N	No If yes, list date and	to whom	
FINDINGS:			
Reasonable efforts were made to a	avoid placement. (First	review only) □ Yes	□ No
2. Reasonable efforts have been made	•		
home. ☐ Yes ☐ No (Make this find	•		·
2a. Waiver of reasonable effor	ts:		
Mother/Parent I: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Date:	_ Father/Parent II □	l Yes □ No Date:
3. Reasonable efforts have been made	de to place the child in a	timely manner and co	mplete the steps necessary to finalize
the permanency plan. □ Yes □	No (This finding refers	to goals other than re	turn to parent)
4. Date of last case plan			
5. The Cabinet is in compliance with If no, explain concerns:			
6. The mother/Parent I is in complian	ce with the case plan ar	nd court orders. 🗆 Yes	□ No □ Partially □ N/A
If no, explain concerns:			
7. The father/Parent II is in compliance	ce with the case plan and	d court orders. 🛚 Yes	s □ No □ Partially □ N/A
If no, explain concerns:			
8. Does child have siblings? ☐ Yes			
		ild has siblings, do they	visit? Yes No Undocumented
9. Out of home placement is still nec	essary. □ Yes □ No		

10. The current placement is the most appropriate and least restrictive. ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Undocumented ☐ N/A

AOC-CFCRB-16 Rev. 9-24 Page 2 of 2

11. Progress has been made to alleviate the need for placement.
Mother/Parent I □ Yes □ No □ Partially □ N/A If no, explain
Father/Parent II □ Yes □ No □ Partially □ N/A If no, explain
Cabinet □ Yes □ No □ Partially If no, explain
12. The current plan is the most appropriate for and in the best interest of the child. □ Yes □ No If no, why?
13. The child has been provided independent living skills. □ Yes □ No □ Undocumented □ N/A
14. The likely date the child will leave out of home care is
Barriers to Permanency (Check as many as apply & explain in the findings):
□ Substance Use Disorder □ Chronic Mental Health Issues □ Domestic Violence □ Homelessness □ Incarcerated
□ Delays in the TPR Process □ Other Systemic Delay(s) □ Other:
Board's Findings:
Local solutions identified to address barriers: (Check as many as apply & explain in recommendations)
☐ Substance use disorder treatment ☐ Trauma-centered treatment ☐ Other mental health treatment
□ DV intervention/counseling □ Family Reunification Services □ Housing/family support services
☐ Cabinet to seek goal change/ waiver of reasonable efforts ☐ Cabinet to complete Presentation Summary
□ Cabinet to file TPR petition □ Expedite TPR appeals process □ Other:
Cabinet to life 1717 petition
Board's Recommendations:
IPR:
Name:
Case Review Board: